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Abstract. In Logarithmic coefficients problems in families related to starlike and convex functions, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 109, pp. 230-249, 2020, Ponnusamy et al. stated the conjecture for the sharp bounds of the logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_{n}$ for $f \in \mathscr{F}(3)$ as follows

$$
\left|\gamma_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ is the Spence's (or dilogarithm) function. In this research we confirm that the conjecture for the above second inequality is true under some additional conditions.

Key words: univalent functions, starlike, convex and close-to-convex functions, subordination, subordination function, logarithmic coefficients, dilogarithm function.
Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC2020): 30C45, 30C50.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ denote the open unit disk of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\mathscr{A}$ be the set of functions $f$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ that has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $\mathscr{S}$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{A}$ consisting of all univalent functions in $\mathbb{D}$. Then, the logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_{n}:=\gamma_{n}(f)$ of a function $f \in \mathscr{S}$ are defined with the aid of the following series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{f(z)}{z}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}(f) z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \log 1:=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These coefficients are significant for various estimates in the theory of univalent functions, see for example [6, Chapter 2] and [5]. The logarithmic coefficient problems and their applications are also studied recently by several authors, for instance see [8,10,12]. Note that we use the notation $\gamma_{n}$ instead of $\gamma_{n}(f)$ throughout the paper.

For $c \in(0,3]$, the class $\mathscr{F}(c)$ is defined (see [11]) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{F}(c): \\
&=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>1-\frac{c}{2}, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} \\
&=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: \mathbb{D} \ni z \mapsto z f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathscr{S}^{*}[c-1,-1]\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]:=\left\{\varphi \in \mathscr{A}: \frac{z \varphi^{\prime}(z)}{\varphi(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}, \quad A \in \mathbb{C},-1 \leq B \leq 0, A \neq B
$$

and the symbol " $\prec$ " stands for the subordination. We recall that if $f$ and $F$ are two analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$, the function $f$ is called subordinate to $F$, written $f \prec F$, if there exists an analytic function $\omega: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\omega(0)=0$ and $|\omega(z)|<1$ such that $f(z)=F(\omega(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The function $\omega$ that satisfies this property is called a subordination function (see [3, p. 125]). It is well-known that if $F$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, then $f \prec F$ if and only if $f(0)=F(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset F(\mathbb{D})$ (see [7, p. 15]).

If we take $\alpha:=1-c / 2 \in[0,1)$, then the family $\mathscr{F}(c)$ is the well-known class of convex functions of order $\alpha$ denoted by $\mathscr{C}(\alpha)$, and clearly $\mathscr{F}(2)=\mathscr{C}(0)=: \mathscr{C}$ is the class of convex functions. More specifically, for $c:=3$, we get the class $\mathscr{F}(3)$ which encouraged a lot of studies in recent years (see [9] and the references therein). It is also important to note that functions of $\mathscr{F}(3)$ are seen to be convex in one direction (and hence, univalent and close-to-convex) but are not necessarily starlike in $\mathbb{D}$ (see [15]).

In 2020 Ponnusamy et al. [11] investigated the bounds of the logarithmic coefficients for selected subfamilies of univalent functions and found the sharp upper bound for $\gamma_{n}$ when $n=1,2,3$, if $f$ belongs to the classes $\mathscr{F}(c)$ for $c \in(0,3]$, (see also [1,2]). Additionally, the authors of this study presented a conjecture for the logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_{n}$ for $f \in \mathscr{F}(3)$ as follows:

CONJECTURE 1. The logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_{n}$ of $f \in \mathscr{F}(3)$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\left|\gamma_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(2-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Li}_{2}(x):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n^{2}}, \quad x \in(-1,1)
$$

denotes the Spence's (or dilogarithm) function. Equalities in these inequalities are attained for the function $f_{0} \in \mathscr{F}(3)$ of the form

$$
f_{0}(z):=\frac{z-z^{2} / 2}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

In the current study we confirm that this conjecture holds for the above second inequality under some additional conditions.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We will get our first main result by using the subsequent lemmas. The first one was shown by Rogosinski [13]; cf. [4, Theorem 6.2, p. 192].

LEMMA 1. Let

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, and suppose that $f \prec g$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2} .
$$

Repeating argumentation from the proof of Theorem 9 from [3] p. 135] we can observe that Theorem 9 is also true for $\alpha:=3 / 2$ and then has the following form.

LEMMA 2. Let $h, q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z):=\frac{1+2 z}{1-z} \quad \text { and } \quad q(z):=\frac{2}{(1-z)(2-z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and $\omega$ is a subordination function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=h(\omega(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}=\frac{\varphi\left[1-\omega+3(\omega-\varphi)-(2 \omega+1)(1-\varphi)^{3}\right]}{z(1-\omega)\left[1-(2 \varphi+1)(1-\varphi)^{2}\right]}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varphi(0)=0$, has a solution $\varphi$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $p(z)=q(\varphi(z))$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Furthermore, if $\varphi$ is also a subordination function, then $p \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant.

Using the notations of Theorem 3.1d of [7] (see also [14]), this theorem can be formulated for the special case $a=0$ and $n=1$, with $F(z):=z p^{\prime}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, as follows:

LEMMA 3. Let $h$ be starlike in $\mathbb{D}$, with $h(0)=0$. If $F$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $F(0)=0$, and $F \prec h$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{z} \frac{F(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \prec \int_{0}^{z} \frac{h(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t=: q(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Moreover, $q$ is a convex function and the best dominant.
In the next theorem we will prove that the second inequality of the Conjecture A holds under some additional conditions, and another inequality involving the logarithmic coefficient will be also obtained.

THEOREM 1. Let $f \in \mathscr{F}(3)$ and $\omega$ be the subordination function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1+2 \omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\varphi$ the analytic solution in $\mathbb{D}$ of the differential equation (5) with $\varphi(0)=0$. If $\varphi$ is a subordination function, then the logarithmic coefficients of $f$ fulfill the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(2-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|\gamma_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(2-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities in these inequalities are attained for the function $f_{0} \in \mathscr{F}(3)$ of the form

$$
f_{0}(z):=\frac{z-z^{2} / 2}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{F}$ (3) be of the form (1). By definition,

$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1+2 z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Thus there exists a subordination function $\omega$ such that (6) hold. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z):=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (6) is equivalent to

$$
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=h(\omega(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

where the function $h$ is defined by (3), i.e., (4) holds.
On the other hand, the function $q$ defined by (3), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{2}{(1-z)(2-z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an analytic solution in $\mathbb{D}$ of the differential equation

$$
q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{1+2 z}{1-z}=h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Since $\varphi$ is a subordination function, from Lemma 2 it follows that $p \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant. Thus by (9) and (10) we obtained the sharp subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{2}{(1-z)(2-z)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function $H: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z):=\frac{f(z)}{z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}, \quad H(0):=1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $H$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$. Since $f$ is a univalent function in $\mathbb{D}$, it follows that $f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$ and 0 is a simple zero for $f$. Thus the function $F: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\frac{z H^{\prime}(z)}{H(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}, \quad F(0):=1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Hence using (11) the following subordination holds

$$
\frac{z H^{\prime}(z)}{H(z)}=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1 \prec q(z)-1=: v(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

We have $v(0)=0, v^{\prime}(0)=q^{\prime}(0)=3 / 4 \neq 0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{z v^{\prime}(z)}{v(z)} & =\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)-1} \\
& =\frac{2(2 z-3)}{(z-3)(z-1)(z-2)}=\frac{3}{z-3}-\frac{2}{z-2}-\frac{1}{z-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that for $z:=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it}}, t \in(0,2 \pi)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{3}{z-3}-\frac{2}{z-2}-\frac{1}{z-1}\right)=G(\cos t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G:[-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function defined as

$$
G(s):=\frac{3(s-3)}{10-6 s}-\frac{2(s-2)}{5-4 s}+\frac{1}{2}, \quad s \in[-1,1) .
$$

Since

$$
G^{\prime}(s)=\frac{-42 s^{2}+60 s}{(3 s-5)^{2}(4 s-5)^{2}}, \quad s \in(-1,1)
$$

we get

$$
\min \{G(s): s \in[-1,1)\}=G(0)=\frac{2}{5}>0
$$

Hence, from (14), (15) and minimum principle for harmonic functions it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z v^{\prime}(z)}{v(z)}>\frac{2}{5}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Thus $v$ is a starlike univalent function in $\mathbb{D}$. Now, using Lemma 3 with $F$ defined by (13) and $h:=v$, we conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{z} \frac{H^{\prime}(t)}{H(t)} \mathrm{d} t \prec \int_{0}^{z} \frac{v(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

i.e., by (12) that

$$
\log \frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \int_{0}^{z} \frac{v(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Moreover, the function

$$
\mathbb{D} \ni z \mapsto \int_{0}^{z} \frac{v(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

is a convex function and is the best dominant. Now by (2) and (3) the previous subordination could be written as

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 \gamma_{n} z^{n} \prec \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Hence by using Lemma 1 we get

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left|\gamma_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
$$

and taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(2-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}
$$

which shows (7).

Further, from (2) and (11) we deduce that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 n \gamma_{n} z^{n}=z \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\left(\log \frac{f(z)}{z}\right)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1 \prec q(z)-1=v(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Using now Lemma 1 we get

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{k} n^{2}\left|\gamma_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(2-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and letting $k \rightarrow+\infty$ shows the inequality (8).
Finally, it is sufficient to take into the account the equality

$$
\frac{z f_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{0}(z)}=\frac{2}{(1-z)(2-z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

to prove the sharpness of inequalities $(7)$ and 8 .
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